No. 95-386-CSX
Status: GRANTED

Title: Jason Richards, et al., Petitioners
v.
Jefferson County, Alabama, et al.

Docketed:
September 7, 1995

Court: Supreme Court of Alabama

Counsel for petitioner: Baxley,William J.

Counsel for respondent: Slaughter,William M.

Ptn due & mld 9-7-95, see ml label re dkt dt.

Entry	Date	Note	Proceedings and Orders
1	Sep 7 1995	G	Petition for writ of certiorari filed.
4	Oct 6 1995		Order extending time to file response to petition until October 17, 1995.
2	Oct 10 1995		Brief of respondent Jefferson County, Alabama in opposition filed.
5	Oct 16 1995		This extension is granted for all respondents.
7	Oct 16 1995		Order further extending time to file response to petition until October 24, 1995.
8	Oct 16 1995		This extension is granted for all respondents.
6	Oct 23 1995		Reply brief of petitioners filed.
9	Oct 24 1995		Brief of respondent Birminghan-Jefferson Civic Center Authority in opposition filed.
10	Nov 1 1995		DISTRIBUTED. November 22, 1995 (Page 2)
11	Nov 22 1995		Petition GRANTED.
			**********
12	Jan 8 1996		Joint appendix filed.
13	Jan 8 1996		Brief of petitioners Jason Richards and Fannie Hill filed.
14	Jan 24 1996		SET FOR ARGUMENT TUESDAY, MARCH 26, 1996. (1ST CASE).
15	Jan 29 1996		CIRCULATED.
16	Feb 2 1996		Record filed.
		*	Certified record proceedings Supreme Court of Alabama and Circuit Court of Jefferson County, Alabama.
17	Feb 8 1996	G	Motion of National Association of Counties, et al. for leave to file a brief as amici curiae filed.
18	Feb 12 1996	X	Brief of respondents Jefferson County, Alabama, et al. in opposition filed.
19	Feb 12 1996	X	Appendix of respondent filed.
20	Mar 4 1996		Motion of National Association of Counties, et al. for leave to file a brief as amici curiae GRANTED.
21	Mar 15 1996		The writ of certiorari is dismissed as improvidently granted to the extent that it includes the question whether the occupational tax challenged by petitioners violates the Equal Protection Clause of the United States Constitution. The parties are directed to address at oral argument only the remaining question: whether the Due Process Clause of the United States Constitution prohibits the application of res judicate in this case.
22	Mar 18 1996	X	Reply brief of petitioners filed.
23	Mar 26 1996		ARGUED.